1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)	
2	claudestern@quinnemanuel.com Patrick Doolittle (Bar No. 203659)	**E-Filed 4/13/10**
3	patrickdoolittle@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor	L-1 lieu 4/13/10
4	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
5	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
6	Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE. Ltd	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD,	CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS
12	INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATED REQUEST AND [xxxxxxxx] ORDER SETTING NEW
13	Plaintiffs,	HEARING DATE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
14	vs.	INJUNCTION AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND RELATED
15	FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD, a Singapore company,	BRIEFING SCHEDULES
16	Defendant.	Dept.: Hon. Richard Seeborg
17		
18		
19		
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction ("PI Motion");	
21	WHEREAS, Defendant has filed a Motion to Dismiss, to Strike, or for a More Definite	
22	Statement ("Motion to Dismiss");	
23	WHEREAS, the Court has set both motions for hearing on May 6, 2010;	
24	WHEREAS, the parties wish to take certain depositions in advance of the hearing on the PI	
25	Motion and have presently scheduled such depositions during the week of April 19, 2010; and	
26	WHEREAS, the parties ask the Court to reset the hearing date for May 13, 2010 with	
27	opposition briefs due on April 26, 2010 and reply briefs due on May 3, 2010 notwithstanding the	
28	Local Rules;	
511		G N 00 5010 DG

04049.51632/3438051.1

Case 3:09-cv-05812-RS Document 64 Filed 04/13/10 Page 2 of 2

1	NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned counsel hereby CONSENT, STIPULATE and	
2	JOINTLY REQUEST the following pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-7(b):	
3	1. The hearing on the PI Motion and Motion to Dismiss shall be continued to May 13,	
4	2010;	
5	2. Defendant's Opposition to the PI Motion shall be due April 26, 2010 and Plaintiffs'	
6	Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be due April 26, 2010.	
7	3. Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of their PI Motion shall be due on May 3, 2010 and	
8	Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss shall be due May 3, 2010.	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10		
11	Dated: April 12, 2010 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
12	SULLIVAN, LLP	
13	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{v}}}$ /C/	
14	By /S/ Patrick C. Doolittle	
15	Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE., Ltd.	
16		
17	DATED: April 12, 2010 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
18		
19	By /S/ Andrew P. Bridges	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Interserve, Inc. d/b/a TechCrunch and CrunchPad, Inc.	
21	recirciation and Cranein ad, inc.	
22	Durguent to stimulation it is so ODDEDED	
23	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ORDERED.	
24		
25	Dated: 4/13/10	
26	The Honorable Richard Seeborg	
27	United States District Judge	
$_{28}$		

04049.51632/3438051.1